## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| Project Name: Noxious W  |  | · ·  |
|--|--|--|
| •  |  | te:12/18/01  |
| Sponsors Phone # 541-885   |  |  |
| Sponsor's E-mail:wwatkins  | s@or.blm.gov                                       |  |
| D 1 1 1  |  |  |
| Project Location (attach project   | ect area map)                                      |  |
|  |  |  |
| 4th T' 11 XX / 1 1 XX  | 111110   | ('C1   |
|  |  | (if known): Upper Klamath River 18010206               |
| b. 5th Field Watershed N   |  |  |
| <ul><li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li><li>c. Legal Location:</li></ul>   | ame and HUC #                                      | (if known):  |
| <ul> <li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li> <li>c. Legal Location:</li> <li>Township _38,39,40,41</li> </ul>                                     | ame and HUC #                                      | (if known):  Section(s)                                |
| <ul> <li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li> <li>c. Legal Location:</li> <li>Township _38,39,40,41</li> <li>Township</li> </ul>                   | ame and HUC #  Range  Range                        | Section(s)<br>Section(s)                               |
| <ul> <li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li> <li>c. Legal Location:</li> <li>Township _38,39,40,41</li> </ul>                                     | ame and HUC #  Range  Range                        | (if known):  Section(s)                                |
| <ul> <li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li> <li>c. Legal Location:</li> <li>Township _38,39,40,41</li> <li>Township</li> </ul>                   | Range<br>Range<br>Range                            | Section(s)<br>Section(s)                               |
| <ul> <li>b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N</li> <li>c. Legal Location:</li> <li>Township _38,39,40,41</li> <li>Township</li> <li>Township</li> </ul> | Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range                   | Section(s)  Section(s)  Section(s)  Section(s)         |
| b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N c. Legal Location: Township _38,39,40,41 Township Township Township Township                                      | Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range          | Section(s) Section(s) Section(s) Section(s) Section(s) |
| b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N<br>c. Legal Location:<br>Township _38,39,40,41<br>Township<br>Township  | Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range<br>Range | Section(s)  Section(s)  Section(s)  Section(s)         |

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: Improve forest health, reduce catastrophic fire risks

Contain and control noxious weeds over a large area of the Klamath Falls Resource area.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Noxious weeds continue to pose a significant threat to land values, livestock forage availability and quality, and fish and wildlife habitats. Because of the checkerboard ownership patterns within the O&C lands, a cooperative approach between BLM, USFS, Klamath County, ODA, US Timberlands, and other private land owners is essential. This project would increase our ability to contain and perhaps even control noxious weeds over a large area of the Klamath Falls Resource area.

June 07, 2001

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 11.   | 11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?                               |  |  |                                      |   |  |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|   | ⊠ <sub>Yes</sub>  | ☐ No   | If yes, then describ   | e.                                   |   |  |
| popu<br>throu<br>treat<br>by th   | alations on its lagh a contract noxious weed nose agencies  How does por Improves made Implements  Restores and | ands. Treatmowith BLM. Bos on national for proposed propintenance of estewardship of   | ents (chemical, manual, oth the Winema and Freorest lands. The USFW; ject meet purposes of existing infrastructure. bjectives that enhance to death. [Sec. 2(b)] | biologement N<br>S and H<br>If the I |   |  |
| 13.   | Road Decom<br>Other Infrast<br>Soil Product<br>Watershed R<br>Fish Habitat<br>Reestablish N                     | enance [Sec. 2(b<br>amission/Oblite<br>tructure Maint<br>ivity Improvent<br>testoration & I<br>Restoration [Se<br>Native Species | pration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] enance (specify): nent [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] ec. 2(b)(2)(E)] [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]                                     |                                      | Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]  Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]  Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] |  |
| Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:  14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]  a. Total Acres: _10,000 b. Total Miles: c. No. Structures: d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): e. No. of Laborer Days: f. Other (specify):  15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]:  16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable): |   |  |  |                                      |   |  |

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

### Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| <b>17.</b> ] | How will | cooperative | relationships | among people | that use federal | l lands be impro | ved? [Sed |
|--------------|----------|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 2(b)(3       | (i)]     |             |               |              |                  |                  |           |

Cooperative relationships and channels of communication will be established in order to coordinated noxious weed management. These relationships and channels can be used to facilitate the implementation of other cooperative projects.

**18.** How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities? Containment or control of noxious weed populations will decrease the potential for these species to spread to adjacent lands and degrade economic value, recreation potential, and wildlife habitat. In turn, productivity and economic value will increase, recreational activities will increase, and wildlife habitat will improve on lands where noxious weeds are contained or controlled

#### 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

The containment and control of noxious weeds will improve wildlife habitat, increase the potential for recreational activities, increase and improve forage for livestock and wildlife, and decrease the potential for invasion of federal land, by noxious weeds from adjacent land owners. These effects will better enable federal land managers accomplish their objectives for economic activities on federal lands, management of wildlife habitat, management of special status species and their habitat, and provision of quality recreational activities to the public.

| 20. Status of Project Planning   |  |
|--|--|
| a. NEPA Complete: 🛛 Yes 🔲 No b. If   | No, give est. date of completion:              |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:  | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable                    |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:   | 🛛 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 Not Applicable                    |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete:   | 🛛 Yes 🔲 No 🖫 Not Applicable                    |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:   | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable                    |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:  | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable                    |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:   | 🛛 Yes 🔲 No 🖵 Not Applicable                    |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed:  | 🛛 Yes 🔲 No                                     |
| * DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wisstate Historic Preservation Officer | ildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = |
| 21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment  ☐ Contract ☐   | Federal Workforce                              |
| June 07, 2001  |  |

4

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

|       | $\boxtimes$ | County Workforce                       |         | Volunteers                 |
|-------|-------------|--|---------|----------------------------|
|       | $\boxtimes$ | Other (specify): State (ODA) Workforce |         |                            |
| 22. V |             | e Project Generate Merchantable Ma     | iterial | <b>s?</b> (Sec. 204(e)(3)) |

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

#### 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

| a. | Total County Title II Funds Requested | \$ | 350,000 | $\mathbf{C}$ |            |      |                                     |
|----|---------------------------------------|----|---------|--------------|------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| b. | Is this a multi-year funding request? | Ӡ  | Yes     | [            | <b>_</b> 1 | No   | If yes, then display by fiscal year |
|    | c. FY02 Request: \$_50,000            |    | _ f     |              | FY05       | 5 Re | quest: \$100,000                    |
|    | d. FY03 Request: \$100,000            |    |         | 3.           | FY0        | 6 Re | equest: \$                          |
|    | e. FY04 Request: \$100,000            |    |         |              |            |      |                                     |

| Item  | Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Requested<br>County Title II<br>Contribution<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Other<br>Contributions<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Total<br>Available<br>Funds |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
|   |  |  | ľ  |                             |
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys                                       | \$25,000 (BLM)   |  |  |                             |
| 25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation                                   |  |  |  |                             |
| 26. Permit Acquisition  |  |  |  |                             |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering                                    |  |  |  |                             |
| 28. Contract Preparation  | \$5,000 (BLM)  |  |  |                             |
| 29. Contract Administration   | \$5,000 (BLM)  |  |  |                             |
| 30. Contract Cost   |  |  |  |                             |
| 31. Workforce Cost  |  | \$50,000   |  |                             |
| 32. Materials & Supplies  |  |  |  |                             |
| 33. Monitoring  | \$5,000 (BLM)  |  |  |                             |
| 34. Other   |  |  |  |                             |
| 35. Project Subtotal  |  |  |  |                             |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects) |  |  |  |                             |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate   | \$40,000   | \$50,000   | \$   | \$                          |

**38.** Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

### Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| <b>39.</b> Monitoring Plan ( | Sec.203(b)(6) |
|------------------------------|---------------|
|------------------------------|---------------|

| a. | What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project       |
|----|--|
|    | meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this |
|    | monitoring item?   |

Post treatment monitoring of selected sites for treatment effects and non-target effects can be used to evaluate the success of the noxious weed control project. The various land owners/managers will be responsible for this monitoring.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? We plan to contract with Klamath County for weed treatment. Klamath county would look at this additional workload and hire or subcontract accordingly.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| Amount: | \$5,000 |  |  |
|---------|---------|--|--|
|---------|---------|--|--|